

REMARKS

This paper amends claim 1 and adds new claims 8-10. Support for the amendment can be found, e.g., at FIGs. 3, 4A-4C, 7A-7D, 8A-8B, 9A and 11 and page 6, lines 1-17. Support for the new claims can be found, e.g., at FIGs. 7A-7D and 9A, FIG. 11, and FIG. 9B. Upon amendment, this application will have 2 independent claims (claims 1 and 10) and 9 total claims (claims 1-6 and 8-10). Thus, no official fee for excess claims is necessary.

In section 2 of the Office Action dated May 27, 2004, the Examiner rejects claims 1 and 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hiroshi (USP 5,995,186). Moreover, in section 3, claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al. (US Patent No. 6,642,984). In section 4, claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroshi or Yoshida et al. in view of Wiltshire (US Patent No. 5,313,562). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Hiroshi teaches a liquid crystal display comprising electrode pair inclined at an angle with respect to a gate line (41) to form parallel electric field (13), wherein the electrode pair includes substantially straight data and common electrodes (48, 49). However, Hiroshi fails to disclose that "all the [pair of] electrodes [of which the first end of the first electrode facing and the second end of the second electrode with a discharge gap therebetween] being parallel with each other" and "the first end [of the first electrode] facing the second end [of the second electrode] with a discharge gap therebetween", as recited by amended claim 1 of the present application.

Yoshida teaches a liquid crystal display apparatus comprising one substrate having a plurality of stripe electrodes extending parallel to each other, and the other substrate having a transparent electrode covering substantially the whole surface thereof, so as to reduce the disclination D (as shown in FIG. 5C) occurring at the central portion of the one-pixel region (10b). However, Yoshida fails to disclose that "all the (pair of) electrodes [of which the first end of the first electrode facing and the second end of the second electrode with a discharge gap therebetween] being parallel with each other" and "the first end [of the first electrode] facing the second end [of the second electrode] with a discharge gap therebetween", as recited by amended claim 1 of the present application.

The Examiner asserts that either Hiroshi or Yoshida et al. in view of Wiltshire (USP

5,313,562) teaches the feature of "the display cell comprises a plurality of electrode pairs with an end-to-end arrangement, and the electrode pairs are parallel to each other", as recited by original claim 7, which depends from original claim 1. As the Examiner described, the combination of the end-to-end arrangement of electrode pairs as taught by Wiltshire with the liquid crystal device as taught by either Hiroshi or Yoshida teaches the invention. The electrode pairs taught by Wiltshire are end-to-end arranged and orthogonal disposed as shown in FIG. 1 and 7. Wiltshire fails to disclose "all the electrodes [disposed on the first substrate] being parallel with each other, each pair of electrodes consisting of: a first electrode ... and a second electrode ... the first end [of the first electrode] facing and the second end [of the second electrode] with a discharge gap therebetween".

Turning to new claim 10, the Applicants believe that Hiroshi, Yoshida, Wiltshire, standing alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or suggest "four electrodes disposed on the first substrate and at corners of each display cell", as recited by amended claim 10 of the present application.

Due to the reasons stated above, it is therefore Applicant's belief that claims 1 and 10 are patentable over the cited references. Claims 2-6 and 7-9 are also patentable, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 1.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account No. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

Enclosed please find a copy of Troy Guangyu Cai's Notice of Limited Recognition under 35 CFR 10.9(b) to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and the attorney of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

August 24, 2004

(Date of Deposit)

Troy Guangyu Cai

(Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered Representative)

(Signature)

8/24/04

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,


Troy Guangyu Cai

Attorney for Applicant

LADAS & PARRY

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100

Los Angeles, California 90036

(323) 934-2300



**BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE
UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Troy Guangyu Cai is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of Ladas & Parry to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Troy Guangyu Cai ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Troy Guangyu Cai's employment with Ladas & Parry ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Troy Guangyu Cai ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H-1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: May 12, 2005

Harry I. Moatz
Director of Enrollment and Discipline

RECEIVED

SEP 08 2004

Technology Center 2600